Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/746,592	STRUB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathleen M Christman	3713
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Kathleen M Christman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dwayne Bentley (attorney for applicant)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part i.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Not applicable		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Not applicable		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Wedle in ten		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sic	inature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarification of the amendment filed 04/16/2003. This amendment indicated in the remarks section that claims 1-12 were cancelled, however claim 11 was also amended. It was indicated that claim 11 was not intended to be cancelled, and that the statement of such was a typographical error. As such the amendment filed 04/16/2003, was only intended to cancel claims 1-10 and 12.